





## UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/394,345
 09/13/99
 TAKAYAMA
 I
 0756-2028

Q22204 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 MCLEAN VA 22102

WM01/0130

EXAMINER

OSORIO,R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2673

DATE MAILED: 01/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/394,345

Examiner

Ricardo Osorio

Group Art Unit 2673

Takayama et al.



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a) X expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 17, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X The proposed amendment(s):
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
💢 will not be entered because:
X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
X they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 11, 14, 17, 21, and 24, "a circuit for driving said first thin film transistor.
said circuit comprising third thin film transistors", would at least require further consideration.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
The first in the state of the s
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed: None
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 11-20
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 11-20
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 11-20  The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 11-20  The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.